Precinct 1

# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

# STATE ELECTION

Penalty for willfully defacing, tearing down, removing or destroying a List of Candidates or Specimen Ballot - fine not exceeding One Hundred Dollars.

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

## OFFICIAL SPECIMEN BALLOT

GRANBY
Pct. 1

820/820

## Tuesday, November 6, 2012

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval ● to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

### ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

Vote for ONE

JOHNSON and GRAY ..... Libertarian

OBAMA and BIDEN ..... Democratic

ROMNEY and RYAN ..... Republican

STEIN and HONKALA ..... Green-Rainbow

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### SENATOR IN CONGRESS

Vote for ONE

SCOTT P. BROWN ..... Republican
70 Hayden Woods, Wrentham — Candidate for Re-election

ELIZABETH A. WARREN ..... Democratic
24 Linnaean St., Cambridge

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

FIRST DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

RICHARD E. NEAL ..... Democratic
36 Atwater Ter., Springfield — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### COUNCILLOR

EIGHTH DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

MICHAEL J. ALBANO ..... Democratic
403 Maple Rd., Longmeadow

MICHAEL FRANCO ..... Republican
7 Primrose La., Holyoke

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT

FIRST HAMPDEN & HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

GALE D. CANDARAS ..... Democratic
643 Tinkham Rd., Wilbraham — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT

THIRD HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

ELLEN STORY ..... Democratic
16 Emerson Ct., Amherst — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### CLERK OF COURTS

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY — Vote for ONE

HARRY J. JEKANOWSKI, JR. ..... Democratic
14 Kosior Dr., Hadley — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGISTER OF DEEDS

HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

MARY K. OLBERDING ..... Democratic
272 Aldrich St., Belchertown

GEORGE R. ZIMMERMAN ..... Independent
7 Hampton Ter., Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGISTER OF PROBATE

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY (TO FILL VACANCY) — Vote for ONE

MICHAEL J. CAREY ..... Democratic
11 Groveland St., Easthampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

You may vote for every position on the Pathfinder Regional Technical School District Committee, regardless of where of you reside in the District.

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) BELCHERTOWN — Vote for ONE

FRANCESCO DELL'OLIO .....
25 Chestnut Dr., Belchertown

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) NEW BRAINTREE — Vote for ONE

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) OAKHAM — Vote for ONE

JEFFREY NELSON .....
459 Barre Rd., Oakham

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) PALMER — Vote for ONE

DAVID DROZ .....
114 Mason St., Palmer — Candidate for Re-election

JOANNE McDIARMID .....
5 Cabot St., Palmer

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) WARREN — Vote for ONE

THOMAS RUGANI .....
550 Reed St., Warren — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### QUESTION 1
### LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit any motor vehicle manufacturer, starting with model year 2015, from selling or leasing, either directly or through a dealer, a new motor vehicle without allowing the owner to have access to the same diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturer's dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to allow the owner, or the owner's designated in-state independent repair facility (one not affiliated with a manufacturer or its authorized dealers), to obtain diagnostic and repair information electronically, on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to provide access to the information through a non-proprietary vehicle interface, using a standard applied in federal emissions-control regulations. Such information would have to include the same content, and be in the same form and accessible in the same manner, as is provided to the manufacturer's dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would require a manufacturer of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, the same diagnostic and repair information that the manufacturer makes available through an electronic system to its dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities. Manufacturers would have to make such information available in the same form and manner, and to the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities. The information would be available for purchase on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would also require manufacturers to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, all diagnostic repair tools, incorporating the same diagnostic, repair and wireless capabilities as those available to dealers and authorized repair facilities. Such tools would have to be made available for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For all years covered by the proposed law, the required diagnostic and repair information would not include the information necessary to reset a vehicle immobilizer, an anti-theft device that prevents a vehicle from being started unless the correct key code is present. Such information would have to be made available to dealers, repair facilities, and owners through a separate, secure data release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufacturer to reveal a trade secret and would not interfere with any agreement made by a manufacturer, dealer, or authorized repair facility that is in force on the effective date of the proposed law. Starting January 1, 2013, the proposed law would prohibit any agreement that waives or limits a manufacturer's compliance with the proposed law.

Any violation of the proposed law would be treated as a violation of existing state consumer protection and unfair trade-practices laws.

***A YES VOTE*** would enact the proposed law requiring motor vehicle manufacturers to allow vehicle owners and independent repair facilities in Massachusetts to have access to the same vehicle diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturers' Massachusetts dealers and authorized repair facilities.

***A NO VOTE*** would make no change in existing laws.

YES

Precinct 1

## QUESTION 2
## LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at a terminally ill patient's request, to end that patient's life. To qualify, a patient would have to be an adult resident who (1) is medically determined to be mentally capable of making and communicating health care decisions; (2) has been diagnosed by attending and consulting physicians as having an incurable, irreversible disease that will, within reasonable medical judgment, cause death within six months; and (3) voluntarily expresses a wish to die and has made an informed decision. The proposed law states that the patient would ingest the medicine in order to cause death in a humane and dignified manner.

The proposed law would require the patient, directly or through a person familiar with the patient's manner of communicating, to orally communicate to a physician on two occasions, 15 days apart, the patient's request for the medication. At the time of the second request, the physician would have to offer the patient an opportunity to rescind the request. The patient would also have to sign a standard form, in the presence of two witnesses, one of whom is not a relative, a beneficiary of the patient's estate, or an owner, operator, or employee of a health care facility where the patient receives treatment or lives.

The proposed law would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient is qualified; (2) inform the patient of his or her medical diagnosis and prognosis, the potential risks and probable result of ingesting the medication, and the feasible alternatives, including comfort care, hospice care and pain control; (3) refer the patient to a consulting physician for a diagnosis and prognosis regarding the patient's disease, and confirmation in writing that the patient is capable, acting voluntarily, and making an informed decision; (4) refer the patient for psychiatric or psychological consultation if the physician believes the patient may have a disorder causing impaired judgment; (5) recommend that the patient notify next of kin of the patient's intention; (6) recommend that the patient have another person present when the patient ingests the medicine and to not take it in a public place; (7) inform the patient that he or she may rescind the request at any time; (8) write the prescription when the requirements of the law are met, including verifying that the patient is making an informed decision; and (9) arrange for the medicine to be dispensed directly to the patient, or the patient's agent, but not by mail or courier.

The proposed law would make it punishable by imprisonment and/or fines, for anyone to (1) coerce a patient to request medication, (2) forge a request, or (3) conceal a rescission of a request. The proposed law would not authorize ending a patient's life by lethal injection, active euthanasia, or mercy killing. The death certificate would list the underlying terminal disease as the cause of death.

Participation under the proposed law would be voluntary. An unwilling health care provider could prohibit or sanction another health care provider for participating while on the premises of, or while acting as an employee of or contractor for, the unwilling provider.

The proposed law states that no person would be civilly or criminally liable or subject to professional discipline for actions that comply with the law, including actions taken in good faith that substantially comply. It also states that it should not be interpreted to lower the applicable standard of care for any health care provider.

A person's decision to make or rescind a request could not be restricted by will or contract made on or after January 1, 2013, and could not be considered in issuing, or setting the rates for, insurance policies or annuities. Also, the proposed law would require the attending physician to report each case in which life-ending medication is dispensed to the state Department of Public Health. The Department would provide public access to statistical data compiled from the reports.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts was held invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

***A YES VOTE*** would enact the proposed law allowing a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at the request of a terminally-ill patient meeting certain conditions, to end that person's life.

***A NO VOTE*** would make no change in existing laws.

YES ○

NO ○

## QUESTION 3
## LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would eliminate state criminal and civil penalties for the medical use of marijuana by qualifying patients. To qualify, a patient must have been diagnosed with a debilitating medical condition, such as cancer, glaucoma, HIV-positive status or AIDS, hepatitis C, Crohn's disease, Parkinson's disease, ALS, or multiple sclerosis. The patient would also have to obtain a written certification, from a physician with whom the patient has a bona fide physician-patient relationship, that the patient has a specific debilitating medical condition and would likely obtain a net benefit from medical use of marijuana.

The proposed law would allow patients to possess up to a 60-day supply of marijuana for their personal medical use. The state Department of Public Health (DPH) would decide what amount would be a 60-day supply. A patient could designate a personal caregiver, at least 21 years old, who could assist with the patient's medical use of marijuana but would be prohibited from consuming that marijuana. Patients and caregivers would have to register with DPH by submitting the physician's certification.

The proposed law would allow for non-profit medical marijuana treatment centers to grow, process and provide marijuana to patients or their caregivers. A treatment center would have to apply for a DPH registration by (1) paying a fee to offset DPH's administrative costs; (2) identifying its location and one additional location, if any, where marijuana would be grown; and (3) submitting operating procedures, consistent with rules to be issued by DPH, including cultivation and storage of marijuana only in enclosed, locked facilities.

A treatment center's personnel would have to register with DPH before working or volunteering at the center, be at least 21 years old, and have no felony drug convictions. In 2013, there could be no more than 35 treatment centers, with at least one but not more than five centers in each county. In later years, DPH could modify the number of centers.

The proposed law would require DPH to issue a cultivation registration to a qualifying patient whose access to a treatment center is limited by financial hardship, physical inability to access reasonable transportation, or distance. This would allow the patient or caregiver to grow only enough plants, in a closed, locked facility, for a 60-day supply of marijuana for the patient's own use.

DPH could revoke any registration for a willful violation of the proposed law. Fraudulent use of a DPH registration could be punished by up to six months in a house of correction or a fine of up to $500, and fraudulent use of a registration for the sale, distribution, or trafficking of marijuana for non-medical use for profit could be punished by up to five years in state prison or by two and one-half years in a house of correction.

The proposed law would (1) not give immunity under federal law or obstruct federal enforcement of federal law; (2) not supersede Massachusetts laws prohibiting possession, cultivation, or sale of marijuana for nonmedical purposes; (3) not allow the operation of a motor vehicle, boat, or aircraft while under the influence of marijuana; (4) not require any health insurer or government entity to reimburse for the costs of the medical use of marijuana; (5) not require any health care professional to authorize the medical use of marijuana; (6) not require any accommodation of the medical use of marijuana in any workplace, school bus or grounds, youth center, or correctional facility; and (7) not require any accommodation of smoking marijuana in any public place.

The proposed law would take effect January 1, 2013, and states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

***A YES VOTE*** would enact the proposed law eliminating state criminal and civil penalties related to the medical use of marijuana, allowing patients meeting certain conditions to obtain marijuana produced and distributed by new state-regulated centers or, in specific hardship cases, to grow marijuana for their own use.

***A NO VOTE*** would make no change in existing laws.

YES ○

NO ○

## QUESTION 4

Shall the amendments to the Hampshire Council of Governments Charter proposed by the Council of Governments councilors be enacted?

### SUMMARY

The revisions to the Hampshire Council of Governments Charter proposed by the Council of Governments councilors would modify administrative and procedural provisions regarding membership in the Hampshire Council of Governments and the adoption of organization plans for Hampshire Council of Governments departments and agencies. The proposed revisions would also modify the term of office and the number of councilors. Lastly, the proposed revisions would clarify the powers and duties of the councilors, the qualifications and responsibilities of the chief administrative officer, delete transitional provisions that are no longer needed, alter the titles of the chief administrative officer and the chief financial officer, and correct typographical errors and inconsistencies in the Charter.

YES ○

NO ○

## QUESTION 5

Shall the Town of Granby cease assessing the excise imposed under General Laws Chapter 59, Section 8A on certain animals, machinery and equipment owned by individuals and non-corporate entities principally engaged in agriculture?

YES ○

NO ○

## QUESTION 6
## THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress and the President to: (1) prevent cuts to Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and Veterans benefits, or to housing, food and unemployment assistance; (2) create and protect jobs by investing in manufacturing, schools, housing, renewable energy, transportation and other public services; (3) provide new revenues for these purposes and to reduce the long-term federal deficit by closing corporate tax loopholes, ending offshore tax havens, and raising taxes on incomes over $250,000; and (4) redirect military spending to these domestic needs by reducing the military budget, ending the war in Afghanistan and bringing U.S. troops home safely now?

YES ○

NO ○

Precinct 2

# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

# STATE ELECTION

## OFFICIAL SPECIMEN BALLOT

Penalty for willfully defacing, tearing down, removing or destroying a List of Candidates or Specimen Ballot - fine not exceeding One Hundred Dollars.

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

GRANBY
Pct. 2

803/803

## Tuesday, November 6, 2012

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval ⬬ to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

### ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
Vote for ONE

- JOHNSON and GRAY ..... Libertarian ⬭
- OBAMA and BIDEN ..... Democratic ⬭
- ROMNEY and RYAN ..... Republican ⬭
- STEIN and HONKALA ..... Green-Rainbow ⬭

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### SENATOR IN CONGRESS
Vote for ONE

- SCOTT P. BROWN ..... Republican ⬭
  70 Hayden Woods, Wrentham — Candidate for Re-election
- ELIZABETH A. WARREN ..... Democratic ⬭
  24 Linnean St., Cambridge

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS
FIRST DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

- RICHARD E. NEAL ..... Democratic ⬭
  36 Atwater Ter., Springfield — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### COUNCILLOR
EIGHTH DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

- MICHAEL J. ALBANO ..... Democratic ⬭
  403 Maple Rd., Longmeadow
- MICHAEL FRANCO ..... Republican ⬭
  7 Primrose La., Holyoke

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT
FIRST HAMPDEN & HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

- GALE D. CANDARAS ..... Democratic ⬭
  643 Tinkham Rd., Wilbraham — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT
SECOND HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

- JOHN W. SCIBAK ..... Democratic ⬭
  13 Chilson Rd., South Hadley — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### CLERK OF COURTS
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY — Vote for ONE

- HARRY J. JEKANOWSKI, JR. ..... Democratic ⬭
  14 Kosior Dr., Hadley — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGISTER OF DEEDS
HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT — Vote for ONE

- MARY K. OLBERDING ..... Democratic ⬭
  272 Aldrich St., Belchertown
- GEORGE R. ZIMMERMAN ..... Independent ⬭
  7 Hampton Ter., Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGISTER OF PROBATE
HAMPSHIRE COUNTY (TO FILL VACANCY) — Vote for ONE

- MICHAEL J. CAREY ..... Democratic ⬭
  11 Groveland St., Easthampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

You may vote for every position on the Pathfinder Regional Technical School District Committee, regardless of where of you reside in the District.

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE
PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) BELCHERTOWN — Vote for ONE

- FRANCESCO DELL'OLIO ..... ⬭
  25 Chestnut Dr., Belchertown

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE
PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) NEW BRAINTREE — Vote for ONE

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE
PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) OAKHAM — Vote for ONE

- JEFFREY NELSON ..... ⬭
  459 Barre Rd., Oakham

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE
PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) PALMER — Vote for ONE

- DAVID DROZ ..... ⬭
  114 Mason St., Palmer — Candidate for Re-election
- JOANNE McDIARMID ..... ⬭
  5 Cabot St., Palmer

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE
PATHFINDER (4 YEAR) WARREN — Vote for ONE

- THOMAS RUGANI ..... ⬭
  560 Reed St., Warren — Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

⬭ WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

### QUESTION 1
### LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit any motor vehicle manufacturer, starting with model year 2015, from selling or leasing, either directly or through a dealer, a new motor vehicle without allowing the owner to have access to the same diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturer's dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to allow the owner, or the owner's designated in-state independent repair facility (one not affiliated with a manufacturer or its authorized dealers), to obtain diagnostic and repair information electronically, on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to provide access to the information through a non-proprietary vehicle interface, using a standard applied in federal emissions-control regulations. Such information would have to include the same content, and be in the same form and accessible in the same manner, as is provided to the manufacturer's dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would require a manufacturer of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, the same diagnostic and repair information that the manufacturer makes available through an electronic system to its dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities. Manufacturers would have to make such information available in the same form and manner, and to the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities. The information would be available for purchase on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would also require manufacturers to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, all diagnostic repair tools, incorporating the same diagnostic, repair and wireless capabilities as those available to dealers and authorized repair facilities. Such tools would have to be made available for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For all years covered by the proposed law, the required diagnostic and repair information would not include the information necessary to reset a vehicle immobilizer, an anti-theft device that prevents a vehicle from being started unless the correct key code is present. Such information would have to be made available to dealers, repair facilities, and owners through a separate, secure data release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufacturer to reveal a trade secret and would not interfere with any agreement made by a manufacturer, dealer, or authorized repair facility that is in force on the effective date of the proposed law. Starting January 1, 2013, the proposed law would prohibit any agreement that waives or limits a manufacturer's compliance with the proposed law.

Any violation of the proposed law would be treated as a violation of existing state consumer protection and unfair trade-practices laws.

***A YES VOTE*** would enact the proposed law requiring motor vehicle manufacturers to allow vehicle owners and independent repair facilities in Massachusetts to have access to the same vehicle diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturers' Massachusetts dealers and authorized repair facilities.

***A NO VOTE*** would make no change in existing laws.

YES ⬭

Precinct 2

## QUESTION 2
## LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at a terminally ill patient's request, to end that patient's life. To qualify, a patient would have to be an adult resident who (1) is medically determined to be mentally capable of making and communicating health care decisions; (2) has been diagnosed by attending and consulting physicians as having an incurable, irreversible disease that will, within reasonable medical judgment, cause death within six months; and (3) voluntarily expresses a wish to die and has made an informed decision. The proposed law states that the patient would ingest the medicine in order to cause death in a humane and dignified manner.

The proposed law would require the patient, directly or through a person familiar with the patient's manner of communicating, to orally communicate to a physician on two occasions, 15 days apart, the patient's request for the medication. At the time of the second request, the physician would have to offer the patient an opportunity to rescind the request. The patient would also have to sign a standard form, in the presence of two witnesses, one of whom is not a relative, a beneficiary of the patient's estate, or an owner, operator, or employee of a health care facility where the patient receives treatment or lives.

The proposed law would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient is qualified; (2) inform the patient of his or her medical diagnosis and prognosis, the potential risks and probable result of ingesting the medication, and the feasible alternatives, including comfort care, hospice care and pain control; (3) refer the patient to a consulting physician for a diagnosis and prognosis regarding the patient's disease, and confirmation in writing that the patient is capable, acting voluntarily, and making an informed decision; (4) refer the patient for psychiatric or psychological consultation if the physician believes the patient may have a disorder causing impaired judgment; (5) recommend that the patient notify next of kin of the patient's intention; (6) recommend that the patient have another person present when the patient ingests the medicine and to not take it in a public place; (7) inform the patient that he or she may rescind the request at any time; (8) write the prescription when the requirements of the law are met, including verifying that the patient is making an informed decision; and (9) arrange for the medicine to be dispensed directly to the patient, or the patient's agent, but not by mail or courier.

The proposed law would make it punishable by imprisonment and/or fines, for anyone to (1) coerce a patient to request medication, (2) forge a request, or (3) conceal a rescission of a request. The proposed law would not authorize ending a patient's life by lethal injection, active euthanasia, or mercy killing. The death certificate would list the underlying terminal disease as the cause of death.

Participation under the proposed law would be voluntary. An unwilling health care provider could prohibit or sanction another health care provider for participating while on the premises of, or while acting as an employee of or contractor for, the unwilling provider.

The proposed law states that no person would be civilly or criminally liable or subject to professional discipline for actions that comply with the law, including actions taken in good faith that substantially comply. It also states that it should not be interpreted to lower the applicable standard of care for any health care provider.

A person's decision to make or rescind a request could not be restricted by will or contract made on or after January 1, 2013, and could not be considered in issuing, or setting the rates for, insurance policies or annuities. Also, the proposed law would require the attending physician to report each case in which life-ending medication is dispensed to the state Department of Public Health. The Department would provide public access to statistical data compiled from the reports.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts was held invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

***A YES VOTE*** would enact the proposed law allowing a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at the request of a terminally-ill patient meeting certain conditions, to end that person's life.

***A NO VOTE*** would make no change in existing laws.

YES ○

NO ○

## QUESTION 3
## LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would eliminate state criminal and civil penalties for the medical use of marijuana by qualifying patients. To qualify, a patient must have been diagnosed with a debilitating medical condition, such as cancer, glaucoma, HIV-positive status or AIDS, hepatitis C, Crohn's disease, Parkinson's disease, ALS, or multiple sclerosis. The patient would also have to obtain a written certification, from a physician with whom the patient has a bona fide physician-patient relationship, that the patient has a specific debilitating medical condition and would likely obtain a net benefit from medical use of marijuana.

The proposed law would allow patients to possess up to a 60-day supply of marijuana for their personal medical use. The state Department of Public Health (DPH) would decide what amount would be a 60-day supply. A patient could designate a personal caregiver, at least 21 years old, who could assist with the patient's medical use of marijuana but would be prohibited from consuming that marijuana. Patients and caregivers would have to register with DPH by submitting the physician's certification.

The proposed law would allow for non-profit medical marijuana treatment centers to grow, process and provide marijuana to patients or their caregivers. A treatment center would have to apply for a DPH registration by (1) paying a fee to offset DPH's administrative costs; (2) identifying its location and one additional location, if any, where marijuana would be grown; and (3) submitting operating procedures, consistent with rules to be issued by DPH, including cultivation and storage of marijuana only in enclosed, locked facilities.

A treatment center's personnel would have to register with DPH before working or volunteering at the center, be at least 21 years old, and have no felony drug convictions. In 2013, there could be no more than 35 treatment centers, with at least one but not more than five centers in each county. In later years, DPH could modify the number of centers.

The proposed law would require DPH to issue a cultivation registration to a qualifying patient whose access to a treatment center is limited by financial hardship, physical inability to access reasonable transportation, or distance. This would allow the patient or caregiver to grow only enough plants, in a closed, locked facility, for a 60-day supply of marijuana for the patient's own use.

DPH could revoke any registration for a willful violation of the proposed law. Fraudulent use of a DPH registration could be punished by up to six months in a house of correction or a fine of up to $500, and fraudulent use of a registration for the sale, distribution, or trafficking of marijuana for non-medical use for profit could be punished by up to five years in state prison or by two and one-half years in a house of correction.

The proposed law would (1) not give immunity under federal law or obstruct federal enforcement of federal law; (2) not supersede Massachusetts laws prohibiting possession, cultivation, or sale of marijuana for nonmedical purposes; (3) not allow the operation of a motor vehicle, boat, or aircraft while under the influence of marijuana; (4) not require any health insurer or government entity to reimburse for the costs of the medical use of marijuana; (5) not require any health care professional to authorize the medical use of marijuana; (6) not require any accommodation of the medical use of marijuana in any workplace, school bus or grounds, youth center, or correctional facility; and (7) not require any accommodation of smoking marijuana in any public place.

The proposed law would take effect January 1, 2013, and states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

***A YES VOTE*** would enact the proposed law eliminating state criminal and civil penalties related to the medical use of marijuana, allowing patients meeting certain conditions to obtain marijuana produced and distributed by new state-regulated centers or, in specific hardship cases, to grow marijuana for their own use.

***A NO VOTE*** would make no change in existing laws.

YES ○

NO ○

## QUESTION 4

Shall the amendments to the Hampshire Council of Governments Charter proposed by the Council of Governments councilors be enacted?

### SUMMARY

The revisions to the Hampshire Council of Governments Charter proposed by the Council of Governments councilors would modify administrative and procedural provisions regarding membership in the Hampshire Council of Governments and the adoption of organization plans for Hampshire Council of Governments departments and agencies. The proposed revisions would also modify the term of office and the number of councilors. Lastly, the proposed revisions would clarify the powers and duties of the councilors, the qualifications and responsibilities of the chief administrative officer, delete transitional provisions that are no longer needed, alter the titles of the chief administrative officer and the chief financial officer, and correct typographical errors and inconsistencies in the Charter.

YES ○

NO ○

## QUESTION 5

Shall the Town of Granby cease assessing the excise imposed under General Laws Chapter 59, Section 8A on certain animals, machinery and equipment owned by individuals and non-corporate entities principally engaged in agriculture?

YES ○

NO ○

VOTERS

Granby

Tear out and take to the polls.

# Voter Checklist

**BALLOT Questions ▶**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Question 1 | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |
| Question 2 | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |
| Question 3 | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |

Question 4 ☐ Yes ☐ NO
Question 5 ☐ Yes ☐ NO
Question 6 ☐ Yes ☐ NO → only on pct. 1
Non-Binding Question

**BALLOT OFFICES ▶ Offices on the ballot in 2012 appear in the following order:**

Electors of President and Vice President _____

Senator in Congress _____

Representative in Congress _____

Councillor _____

Senator in General Court _____

Representative in General Court _____

Clerk of Courts _____

Register of Deeds _____

County Commissioner _____
*(Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Norfolk, Plymouth), or Franklin Council of Governments*

Sheriff _____
*(Middlesex county only)*

Register of Probate _____
*(Hampshire and Suffolk counties only)*

* Regional Vocational Technical School committee
you may vote for every position on the Pathfinder Regional Technical School District committee, regardless of where of you reside in the District

## *IMPORTANT VOTING INFORMATION*

Pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws chapter 54, section 6, the Town of Granby has changed voting precincts to reflect population changes as calculated by the most recent federal census. Effective December 31, 2011, there will be two voting precincts the polling location will remain at the East Meadow School in the cafeteria for **both** precincts. **Please look at the list below to see what precinct will apply to you.**

***PRECINCT 1:***

**ALDRICH STREET**
**AMHERST RD**
**AMHERST ST**
**BATCHELOR ST**
**BITTERSWEET LN**
**BREEZY LN**
**BURNETT ST**
**COLD HILL DR**
**COLD HL**
**COMMON ST**
**CRESCENT ST**
**DARREL AVE**
**DEER BROOK DR**
**DIAN ST**
***EAST STATE ST-** All Odd Numbers***
**EASTON ST**
**FORGE POND RD**
**FRED RUEL RD**
**GRANBY HTS**
**GRANDVIEW AVE**
**GRAYSTONE AVE**
**GREENMEADOW LN**
**GRISWOLD CIR**
**HARRIS ST**
**HILLTOP ACRES**
**JACKIELYN CIR**
**JERRY LN**
**KELLOGG ST**
**KEN LN**
**KIZIOR DR**
**LAKEVIEW AVE**
**LANEBROOK CIR**
**LEO DR**
**LYMAN ST**
**LYN DR**
**MACDONALD AVE**
**MAXIMILIAN DR**
**MILL LN**
**NORMAN AVE**
**NORTH ST**
**OAK DR**
**PHINS HILL MNR**
**PINEBROOK CIR**
***PLEASANT ST- **Odd #'s 1-61***
**PORTER ST**
**RIDGE PATH**
***SCHOOL ST- **All #"s 5- 66***
**SMITH AVE**
***STATE ST-**Only 227***
**TROMPKE AVE**
**TROUT LILY LN**
**WEST ST**
***WEST STATE ST-** Odd #'S 107-215***
**WOOD AVE & WOODSIDE AVE**

---

***PRECINCT 2:***

**ACREBROOK DR**
**BAGGS HILL RD**
**BARTON ST**
**BURKE LN**
**CARVER ST**
**CEDAR DR**
**CENTER ST**
**CHICOPEE ST**
**CIRCLE DR**
**COUNTRY VIEW LN**
**EAST ST**
**EAST STATE ST-**All Even Numbers**
**FERRY HILL RD**
**HIGH ST**
**HUBBARD DR**
**JENNIFER DR**
**KAREN DR**
**KENDALL ST**
**LYONS ST**
**MARY LYON DR**
**MEADOW GLEN DR**
**MILLER ST**
**MORGAN ST**
**MUNSING RIDGE**
**NEW LUDLOW RD**
**PARISH HILL RD**
**PHEASANT HL**
**PHILIP CIR**
***PLEASANT ST-**Even #'s 2-56 & All #'s 76-153***
***SCHOOL ST-**All #'s 90 –206***
**SHERWOOD DR**
**SOUTH ST**
***STATE ST-All Even #'s 224-256***
**TAYLOR ST**
**TRUBY ST**
**VIRGINIA DR**
***WEST STATE ST-**# 5 & All even Numbers***