RECORD
STATE ELECTION
NOVEMBER 06, 2018

In accordance with the foregoing warrant the inhabitants of the Town of Granby qualified to vote in elections and primaries met in the Granby Jr. Sr. High School at 385 East State Street, in the Town of Granby on Tuesday, the Sixth day of November, 2018 and voted as follows:

SENATOR IN CONGRESS - VOTE ONE

PCT 1
ELIZABETH A. WARREN (D)
24 Linnaean St., Cambridge
Candidate for Re-election

PCT 2
TOTAL

1495

| GEOFF DIEHL (R) | 692 | 708 | 1400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 Village Way, Whitman |  |  |  |
| SHIVA AYYADURAI (U) | 78 | 70 | 148 |

WRITE IN'S $\quad \underline{0} \quad \underline{0}$

| BLANKS | $\underline{29}$ | $\underline{17}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | $\underline{1658}$ | $\underline{1431}$ | $\underline{3089}$ |

GOVERNOR \& LT. GOVERNOR- Vote for One

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BAKER \& POLITO-(R) | 1163 | 1085 | 2248 |
| GONZALEZ \& PALFREY (D) | 441 | 302 | 743 |
| WRITE IN'S | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Pct. 1-Geoff Diehl |  |  |  |
| BLANKS | 53 | 44 | 98 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

## ATTORNEY GENERAL- Vote for One

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAURA HEALEY (D) | 1001 | 766 | 1767 |
| 40 Winthrop St., Boston Candidate for Re-election |  |  |  |
| JAMES R. McMAHON (R) | 613 | 631 | 1244 |
| WRITE IN'S | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BLANKS | 44 | 34 | 78 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

SECRETARY OF STATE- Vote for One

PCT 1
WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN (D) 1003
PCT 2
TOTAL
797
1800
46 Lake St., Boston
Present Secretary; Candidate for Re-election

| ANTHONY M. AMORE (R) | 499 | 506 | 1005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JUAN G. SANCHEZ, JR (J) | 80 | 62 | 142 |
| WRITE IN'S | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BLANKS | 76 | 66 | 142 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

## TREASURER- Vote for One



TOTAL VOTES CAST $\qquad$ 1431
3089

## AUDITOR- Vote for One



$\qquad$

## REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS- Vote for One

First District

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RICHARD E. NEAL (D) | 1233 | 993 | 2226 |
| 36 Atwater Ter., Springfield Candidate for Re -election |  |  |  |
| WRITE IN'S | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Pct 1-Sam Conway Geoff Diehl |  |  |  |
| Pct 2- Tahira Amatul-Wadud Howie Carr Jay Gonzalez | pringfie |  |  |
| BLANKS | 423 | 435 | 858 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

## COUNCILLOR- Vote for One

Eighth District

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARY E. HURLEY(D) | 977 | 769 | 1746 |
| 15 Fields Dr, East Longmead Candidate for Re-election |  |  |  |
| MIKE FRANCO (Ma Indep.) | 514 | 518 | 1032 |
| 7 Primrose LN, Holyoke |  |  |  |
| WRITE IN'S | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BLANKS | 167 | 144 | 311 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

## SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT Vote for One

First Hampden \& Hampshire District

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| WRITE IN'S | 2 | 3 | _ 5 |
| Pct 1- <br> Philip DeFranco George Nash |  |  |  |
| Pct 2- <br> Andrew Drawee- 10 Sheffield Rd, Belchertown-2 Bob Smith |  |  |  |
| BLANKS | 426 | 438 | 864 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT- Vote for One
Precinct 1- Third Hampshire District
Precinct 2- Second Hampshire District

|  | PCT 1 | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SOLOMON GOLDSTEIN-ROSE (U) | $\underline{628}$ | $-\mathbf{6 2 8}$ |
| 16 Poet's Corner, Amherst <br> Candidate for Re-election |  |  |
| MINDY DOMB(D) | $\underline{727}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 7}$ |

106 Larkspur Dr Amherst

Precinct-1
WRITE IN'S
Dan Carey $\qquad$ 1


TOTAL
DANIEL R. CAREY (D)
6 Newell St, Easthampton

DONALD PELTIER (R)
356 Granby Rd, South Hadley.

## Precinct-2

## WRITE IN'S

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
P. Marie McCourt, Granby-2

Mindy Domb

|  | 104 | 104 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST Pct 2 | 1431 | 1431 |

*As of 2012 Granby became two Precincts this changed the Representative in General Court to two different districts.
Precinct 1 remained the same -Third Hampshire District and Precinct 2- is now in the Second Hampshire District Granby has two Representatives.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY- Vote for One
Northwestern District

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAVID E. SULLIVAN (D) | $\underline{1210}$ | $\underline{965}$ | $\underline{2175}$ |
| 4 Taft Ave. Easthampton <br> Candidate for Re-election |  |  |  |

WRITE IN'S $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Pct 2- Jo Kelly
BLANKS $\quad 448$

TOTAL VOTES CAST

CLERK OF COURTS- Vote for One
Hampshire County

| PCT 1 |  | PCT 2 | TOTAL$\underline{2132}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HARRY J. JEKANOW | 1186 | 946 |  |
| 14 Kosior Dr. Hadley Candidate for Re-election |  |  |  |
| WRITE IN'S | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Pct-2 Barbara O'Connor-Hadley |  |  |  |
| BLANKS | 472 | 484 | 956 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

## REGISTER OF DEEDS- Vote for One

Hampshire County

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARY K. OLBERDING(D). | 1193 | 953 | 2146 |
| 272 Aldrich St., Belchertown Candidate for Re-election |  |  |  |
| WRITE IN'S | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Pct 1-Terri Jones Pct 2- Cheryl Coakley |  |  |  |
| BLANKS | 464 | 477 | 941 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

You may vote for every position on the Pathfinder Regional Technical School District Committee, regardless of where of you reside in the District.

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4Year) Belchertown

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LORRAINE F. ALVES | 1130 | 912 | 2042 |
| 200 South St. Belchertown Candidate for Re-election |  |  |  |
| WRITE IN'S | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BLANKS | 528 | 519 | 1047 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4Year) Granby

| PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| WILLIAM E. JOHNSON <br> 79 Amherst St. Granby <br> Candidate for Re-election | $\underline{959}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| WRITE IN'S |  |  |

Pct 1- Leanne Berggron, Tamara Jachym, Richard Beaulieu
\& Charles Maheu-2 Votes
Pct 2- Keith Rondeau \& Lori Meister

| BLANKS | $\underline{473}$ | $\underline{470}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | $\underline{943}$ |  |

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One
Pathfinder (4Year) Hardwick

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JULIE QUINK <br> 685 Turkey St, Hardwick Candidate for Re -election | 1056 | 838 | 1894 |
|  |  |  |  |
| WRITE IN'S | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Pct 1-Robert Jachym |  |  |  |
| BLANKS | 601 | 593 | 1194 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | _1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4Year) Monson

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KATHLEEN BARRETT <br> 253 Reimers Rd, Monson <br> Candidate for Re-election | $\underline{1047}$ | $-\underline{831}$ | -1878 |
| WRITE IN'S |  |  |  |

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One
Pathfinder (4Year) Palmer

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MICHAEL J. CAVANAUGH | 1023 | 831 | 1854 |
| 57 South St. Palmer Candidate for Re -election |  |  |  |
| WRITE IN'S | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BLANKS | 635 | 600 | 1235 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4Year) New Braintree
PCT 1 PCT 2 TOTAL

## WRITE IN'S

$\qquad$ 9 11 Precinct 1- Alex Boyington-2 Votes

Precinct 2- Andrew Balsam, Tamara Jachym, Mark Bail, Jason LaGuerre, Keith Rondeau, Thomas Knightly, Paul Butler, Will Hastie \& Linda Lapointe

| BLANKS | 1656 | $\underline{1422}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 3078 |
| TOTAL VOTES CAST | 1658 | $\underline{1431}$ |



## QUESTIONS

## QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2,2018?


#### Abstract

SUMMARY This proposed law would limit how many patients could be assigned to each registered nurse in Massachusetts hospitals and certain other health care facilities. The maximum number of patients per registered nurse would vary by type of unit and level of care, as follows:


- In units with step-down/intermediate care patients: 3 patients per nurse;
- In units with post-anesthesia care or operating room patients: 1 patient under anesthesia per nurse; 2 patients post-anesthesia per nurse;
- In the emergency services department: 1 critical or intensive care patient per nurse (or 2 if the nurse has assessed each patient's condition as stable); 2 urgent non-stable patients per nurse; 3 urgent stable patients per nurse; or 5 non-urgent stable patients per nurse;
- In units with maternity patients: (a) active labor patients: 1 patient per nurse; (b) during birth and for up to two hours immediately postpartum: 1 mother per nurse and 1 baby per nurse; (c) when the condition of the mother and baby are determined to be stable: 1 mother and her baby or babies per nurse; (d) postpartum: 6 patients per nurse; (e) intermediate care or continuing care babies: 2 babies per nurse; (f) well-babies: 6 babies per nurse;
- In units with pediatric, medical, surgical, telemetry, or observational/outpatient treatment patients, or any other unit: 4 patients per nurse; and
- In units with psychiatric or rehabilitation patients: 5 patients per nurse.

The proposed law would require a covered facility to comply with the patient assignment limits without reducing its level of nursing, service, maintenance, clerical, professional, and other staff.

The proposed law would also require every covered facility to develop a written patient acuity tool for each unit to evaluate the condition of each patient. This tool would be used by nurses in deciding whether patient limits should be lower than the limits of the proposed law at any given time.

The proposed law would not override any contract in effect on January 1, 2019 that set higher patient limits. The proposed law's limits would take effect after any such contract expired.

The state Health Policy Commission would be required to promulgate regulations to implement the proposed law. The Commission could conduct inspections to ensure compliance with the law. Any facility receiving written notice from the Commission of a complaint or a violation would be required to submit a written compliance plan to the Commission. The Commission could report violations to the state Attorney General, who could file suit to obtain a civil penalty of up to $\$ 25,000$ per violation as well as up to $\$ 25,000$ for each day a violation continued after the Commission notified the covered facility of the violation. The Health Policy Commission would be required to establish a toll-free telephone number for complaints and a website where complaints, compliance plans, and violations would appear.

The proposed law would prohibit discipline or retaliation against any employee for complying with the patient assignment limits of the law. The proposed law would require every covered facility to post within each unit, patient room, and waiting area a notice explaining the patient limits and how to report violations. Each day of a facility's non-compliance with the posting requirement would be punishable by a civil penalty between $\$ 250$ and $\$ 2,500$.

The proposed law's requirements would be suspended during a state or nationally declared public health emergency.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would limit the number of patients that could be assigned to one registered nurse in hospitals and certain other health care facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws relative to patient-to-nurse limits.

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 1-YES | 424 | 348 | 772 |
| Question 1-NO | 1200 | 1061 | 2261 |
| Question 1-Blanks | 34 | 22 | 56 |
| Question 1-TOTAL | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

## QUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018 ?

## SUMMARY

This proposed law would create a citizens commission to consider and recommend potential amendments to the United States Constitution to establish that corporations do not have the same Constitutional rights as human beings and that campaign contributions and expenditures may be regulated.

Any resident of Massachusetts who is a United States citizen would be able to apply for appointment to the 15 -member commission, and members would serve without compensation. The Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth, the state Attorney General, the Speaker of the state House of Representatives, and the President of the state Senate would each appoint three members of the commission and, in making these appointments, would seek to ensure that the commission reflects a range of geographic, political, and demographic backgrounds.

The commission would be required to research and take testimony, and then issue a report regarding (1) the impact of political spending in Massachusetts; (2) any limitations on the state's ability to regulate corporations and other entities in light of Supreme Court decisions that allow corporations to assert certain constitutional rights; (3) recommendations for constitutional amendments; (4) an analysis of constitutional amendments introduced to Congress; and (5) recommendations for advancing proposed amendments to the United States Constitution.

The commission would be subject to the state Open Meeting Law and Public Records Law. The commission's first report would be due December 31, 2019, and the Secretary of the Commonwealth would be required to deliver the commission's report to the state Legislature, the United States Congress, and the President of the United States.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would create a citizens commission to advance an amendment to the United States Constitution to limit the influence of money in elections and establish that corporations do not have the same rights as human beings.

A NO VOTE would not create this commission.

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 2-YES | 1113 | 926 | 2039 |
| Question 2-NO | 474 | 461 | 935 |
| Question 2-Blanks | 71 | 44 | 115 |
| Question 2-TOTAL | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

## QUESTION 3: REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on July 7, 2016?

SUMMARY
This law adds gender identity to the list of prohibited grounds for discrimination in places of public accommodation, resort, or amusement. Such grounds also include race, color, religious creed, national origin, sex, disability, and ancestry. A "place of public accommodation, resort or amusement" is defined in existing law as any place that is open to and accepts or solicits the patronage of the general public, such as hotels, stores, restaurants, theaters, sports facilities, and hospitals. "Gender identity" is defined as a person's sincerely held gender-related identity, appearance, or behavior, whether or not it is different from that traditionally associated with the person's physiology or assigned sex at birth.

This law prohibits discrimination based on gender identity in a person's admission to or treatment in any place of public accommodation. The law requires any such place that has separate areas for males and females (such as restrooms) to allow access to and full use of those areas consistent with a person's gender identity. The law also prohibits the owner or manager of a place of public accommodation from using advertising or signage that discriminates on the basis of gender identity.

This law directs the state Commission Against Discrimination to adopt rules or policies and make recommendations to carry out this law. The law also directs the state Attorney General to issue regulations or guidance on referring for legal action any person who asserts gender identity for an improper purpose.

The provisions of this law governing access to places of public accommodation are effective as of October 1, 2016. The remaining provisions are effective as of July 8, 2016.

A YES VOTE would keep in place the current law, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in places of public accommodation.

A NO VOTE would repeal this provision of the public accommodation law.

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3-YES | 1023 | 830 | 1853 |
| Question 3-NO | 576 | 560 | 1136 |
| Question 3-Blanks | 59 | 41 | 100 |
| Question 3-TOTAL | 1658 | 1431 | 3089 |

## QUESTION 4 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote for legislation to create a single-payer system of universal health care that would provide all Massachusetts residents with comprehensive health care coverage including the freedom to choose doctors and other health care professionals, facilities, and services, and that would eliminate the role of insurance companies in health care by creating a publicly administered insurance trust fund?

A YES VOTE you are in favor of this question
A NO VOTE you are Not in favor of this question

|  | PCT 1 | PCT 2 | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 4-YES | $\underline{952}$ |  |  |
| Question 4-NO | -485 | $\boxed{740}$ | $\underline{1692}$ |
| Question 4-Blanks | $\underline{221}$ | $\boxed{516}$ | $\underline{1001}$ |
| Question 4-TOTAL | $\underline{1658}$ | $\boxed{175}$ | $\underline{396}$ |
| $\underline{3089}$ |  |  |  |

# QUESTION 5 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING <br> Precinct 1-Onlv <br> Third Hampshire District 

Shall the state representative from this District be instructed to introduce and vote in favor of legislation adopting a system for all state and local primaries and elections in which voters rank candidates in order of preference?

A YES VOTE you are in favor of this question
A NO VOTE you are Not in favor of this question

|  | PRECINCT 1 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5-YES | 585 | 585 |
| Question 5-NO | 769 | 769 |
| Question 5-Blanks | 304 | 304 |
| Question 5-TOTAL | 1658 | 1658 |

The polls opened at 7:00 a.m. and closed at 8:00 p.m. It was very steady all day long despite the rainy weather.
At the close of voter registration (October 17, 2018), the town had 4,657 registered voters ( 261 were considered as Inactive voters).

At the close of the polls 1,967 voters voted in person, and 87 Absentee Ballots were filed making a total of 2,054 voters that voted on Election Day (44\%).
The Early Voting was held from Oct. 22- Nov. 2, at the Old Library. 1,035 voters took advantage of Early Voting (22\%) making the final count of voters to be $\mathbf{3 , 0 8 9}$ voters, ( $66.5 \%$ ) that came out to support their candidates and the questions.

There were six provisional ballots filed, two of those provisional ballots were proven to be eligible voters and those two ballots were processed and included in the final tally at the polls.

Provisional ballots are filed by the voter when a voter does not show up on any voting list, no record on the Active, Inactive or the Deleted list, then a search is required and if the voter actually should be eligible their vote is processed and counted.

At a meeting of the Board of Registrars held on Wednesday, November 14, 2018, members Patricia Banas, Jeanne Crosby, Jeanne Merrill, and Katherine A. Kelly-Regan, Clerk. The meeting was called to determine if an overseas ballot was eligible to be counted as a vote.

This ballot was received within the time frame for overseas ballots, postmarked on or before Nov. 6, 2018 and received on or before Nov. 16, 2018. The Board reviewed the ballot application it was determined by the Board of Registrars that this ballot qualified to be counted, and the ballot was added and counted in the final tally.

I certify that all ballots cast for candidates and the questions in this State Election held on Nov. 06, 2018 have been counted and recorded in accordance with the law.

