### RECORD STATE ELECTION NOVEMBER 08, 2022

In accordance with the foregoing warrant the inhabitants of the Town of Granby qualified to vote in elections and primaries met in the Granby Jr. Sr. High School at 385 East State Street, in the Town of Granby on Tuesday, the Eighth Day of November 2022 and voted as follows:

### **GOVERNOR and LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR- Vote for One**

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
DIEHL and ALLEN-Republican	<u>670</u>	736	<u>13</u>	<u>1419</u>
HEALEY and DRISCOLL-Democra	tic <u>770</u>	<u>633</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>1416</u>
REED and EVERETT-Libertarian	_22	_22	_2	46
WRITE IN'S	0	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
ALL OTHERS	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>20</u>	<u>6</u>	_0	26
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

### **ATTORNEY GENERAL- Vote for One**

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
Andrea Joy Campbell-Democratic 37 Groveland St. Boston	<u>765</u>	<u>622</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>1399</u>
JAMES R. McMahon, Ill-Republican 14 Canal View Rd, Bourne	<u>672</u>	<u>740</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>1426</u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	0	<u>0</u>
ALL OTHERS		•	0	0
	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>45</u>	<u>35</u>	2	<u>82</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	<u> 2907</u>

.

## SECRETARY OF STATE- Vote for One

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
William Francis Galvin-Democrati 46 Lake St., Boston Candidate for Re-election	ic <u>858</u>	<u>714</u>	<u>13</u>	<u> 1585</u>
RAYLA CAMPBELL-Republican 397 High St., Whitman	<u>538</u>	<u>621</u>	<u>12</u>	1171
Juan Sanchez- Green-Rainbow Pa 40 Suffolk St., Holyoke	arty <u>49</u>	<u>  36</u>	<u>2</u>	_87
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	_0	_0	<u>0</u>
ALL OTHERS	<u>0</u>	<u>_0</u>	0_	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>37</u>	<u>26</u>	1	<u>64</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

### **TREASURER- Vote for One**

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
<b>Deborah B. Goldberg-Democrati</b> 37 Hyslop Rd. Brookline Candidate for Re-election	c <u>912</u>	<u>782</u>	_14	<u>1708</u>
Cristina Crawford-Libertarian 100 Prospect St., Sherborn	<u>359</u>	<u>384</u>	_4	<u>747</u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	_0_	<u>0</u>
ALL OTHERS	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	0
BLANKS	<u>211</u>	<u>231</u>	<u>  10                                  </u>	<u>452</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

## AUDITOR- Vote for One

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
ANTHONY AMORE-Republican 247 Washington St, Winchester	<u>617</u>	<u>697</u>	_12	<u>1326</u>
DIANA DIZOGLIO-Democratic 30 Olive St., Methuen	<u>628</u>	<u>510</u>	9	<u>1147</u>
GLORIA A. CABALLERO-ROCA Green Rainbow Party 5 Whiting Ave, Holyoke	<u>85</u>	<u>55</u>	4	<u>144</u>
DOMINIC GIANNONE, III Workers Party 58 Birchbrow Ave, Weymouth	<u>31</u>	<u>24</u>	_0	_55
<b>DANIEL RIEK- Libertarian</b> 9 Breezy Point, Yarmouth	<u>_28</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>   56  </u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
ALL OTHERS	_0	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>93</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>_</u>	<u>179</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	<u>1482</u>	1397	28	2907

## REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS- Vote for One First District

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
<b>RICHARD E. NEAL-Democratic</b> 36 Atwater Ter, Springfield Candidate for Re-election	<u>819</u>	<u>679</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>1515</u>
<b>DEAN James MARTILLI -Republican</b> 45 Autumn Rd., West Springfield	<u>615</u>	<u>677</u>	11	<u>1303</u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
ALL OTHERS	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1	1
BLANKS	<u>48</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>89</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

### **COUNCILLOR- Vote for One**

Eighth District

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
JOHN M. COMERFORD-Republican 9 South High St., Palmer	<u>662</u>	<u>760</u>	17	<u>1439</u>
<b>TARA J. JACOBS- Democratic</b> 35 East Quincy St. North Adams	<u>722</u>	<u>572</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>1303</u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	0	<u>0</u>	0
ALL OTHERS	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>98</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>_2</u>	<u>165</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	5	2907

# SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT Vote for One First Hampden, Hampshire & Worcester District

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
WILLIAM E. JOHNSON-Republican 79 Amherst St, Granby	<u>714</u>	<u>769</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>1501</u>
JACOB R. OLIVEIRA-Democratic 616 East St., Ludlow	<u>733</u>	<u>600</u>	_9	<u>1342</u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	<u>   0                                 </u>	_0	0
ALL OTHERS	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>35</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>64</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

### **REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT- Vote for One**

Precinct 1 & 2A- Third Hampshire District Precinct 2- Second Hampshire District

Precince 2- Second Hampshire District	L		
	PCT 1	PCT 2A	TOTAL
<b>Mindy Domb-Democratic</b> 106 Larkspur Dr. Amherst Third Hampshire District-Candidate for	<u>994</u> Re-election	<u>16</u>	<u>1010</u>
			-
WRITE IN'S	<u>   0                                 </u>	<u>0</u>	_0
ALL OTHERS Claire Demers-2	2	<u>0</u> _	<u>2</u>
BLANKS	<u>486</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>498</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST-Pct 1 & 2A	1482	28	1510

	<u>PCT 2</u>	TOTAL
<b>DANIEL R. CAREY-Democratic</b> 6 Newell St, Easthampton Second Hampshire District-Candidate for Re-election	<u>860</u>	<u>860</u>
<u>Pct-2</u>		
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	0
ALL OTHERS	<u>0</u>	0
BLANKS	<u>537</u>	<u>537</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST Pct 2	1397	<u>1397</u>

\*As of the 2020 Federal Census the Re-districting for Granby became three Precincts changing the Representative in General Court for the Third Hampshire District to Precinct 1 & 2A and Precinct 2 remains as the Second Hampshire District.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY- Vote for One Northwestern District				
	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
<b>DAVID E. SULLIVAN- Democratic</b> 4 Taft Ave. Easthampton Candidate for Re-election	<u>999</u>	<u>858</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>1873</u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
ALL OTHERS James McMahon, III BLANKS	<u>_1</u>	<u>0</u>	0	<u>1</u>
	<u>482</u>	<u>539</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>1033</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907
SHERIFF- Vote for One Hampshire County	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
PATRICK J. CAHILLANE-Democr		<u>890</u>	16	1927
116 Florence St. Northampton Candidate for Re-election	alic <u>1021</u>	000	10	1021
WRITE IN'S YVONNE C. GITTELSON 47 Main St. Goshen	<u>9</u>	7	<u>0</u>	<u>16</u>
ALL OTHERS Caitlin Sepeda	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	2
BLANKS	<u>450</u>	<u>500</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>962</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

# REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4 Years) Belchertown

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
LORRAINE F. ALVES 200 South St. Belchertown Candidate for Re-election	<u>878</u>	<u>818</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>1713</u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	0	<u>5</u>
Pct 1-April Judicki-2				
Pct 2 April Judicki-2, Robert Cha	uvin-1			
ALL OTHERS	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>602</u>	<u>576</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>1189</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

## REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4 Years) Palmer

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
ROBERT LAVOIE 18 Peterson Rd , Palmer	<u>797</u>	<u>745</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>1557</u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	1	0	1
Pct 2 -Julie Quink-1				
ALL OTHERS	<u>_0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>685</u>	<u>651</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>1349</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

# REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4 Years) Ware

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
MARIE BARBARA RAY 27 Beach Rd., Ware Candidate for Re-election	<u>769</u>	<u>714</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>1498</u>
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>   0  </u>	<u>0</u>
ALL OTHERS	_0_	<u>0</u>	0	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	713	<u>683</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>1409</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL		
RONALD K. VALLEY, JR 230 Stafford Rd, Monson	<u>750</u>	<u>705</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>1470</u>		
WRITE IN'S	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>		
ALL OTHERS	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>		
BLANKS	<u>732</u>	<u>692</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>1437</u>		
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907		
IOTAL VOTES CAST	REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One Pathfinder (4 Years) Hardwick					
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL 1	ECHNICAL S	CHOOL CO	OMMITTE	E- Vote for Oı	ne	
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL 1	FECHNICAL S	CHOOL CO PCT 2	OMMITTE PCT 2A	E- Vote for Or TOTAL	ne	
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL 1					ne	
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL 1 Pathfinder (4 Years) Hardwick	<b>РСТ 1</b> <u>11</u>	<b>PCT 2</b> 1 <u>3</u>	<b>РСТ 2А</b> _0	TOTAL	ne	
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL 1 Pathfinder (4 Years) Hardwick WRITE IN'S	PCT 1 <u>11</u> I, Lynn Mercier-1,	PCT 2 1 <u>3</u> & Seamus C	PCT 2A _0 onnolly-1	<b>ТОТАL</b> <u>24</u>	ne	
REGIONAL VOCATIONAL 1 Pathfinder (4 Years) Hardwick WRITE IN'S Pct 1- Julie Quink-5, April Judicki-4	PCT 1 <u>11</u> I, Lynn Mercier-1,	PCT 2 1 <u>3</u> & Seamus C	PCT 2A _0 onnolly-1	<b>ТОТАL</b> <u>24</u>	ne	

### **REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One** Pathfinder (4 Years) Monson

### **REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One** Pathfinder (4 Years) Granby

1397

28

2907

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
WRITE IN'S	<u>29</u>	1 <u>9</u>	1	<u>49</u>

1482

Pct 1- <u>April Judicki-10,</u> Robert Chauvin, Jr.-5, & Jim Pietras-2 The following all had one write in for Precinct One- Carol Dunlap, Bill Johnson, Robert McDermott, Adam Boissault, Aaron Lombardino, Seamus Connolly, Jennifer Bartosz & Steve Goodhind

### Pct 2- April Judicki-10,

**TOTAL VOTES CAST** 

The following all had one write in for Precinct Two- Crystal Dufresne, Bryan Griffin, Joseph Kulig, Sr., Steve Iacolo, Gary Gagnon, Scott Grosenick, Steve Sullivan, Bruce Durham, Gabe Pula, Patrick Morgan, Sue Keegan & Robert Chauvin

Pct 2A- <b>April Judicki-1</b>				
ALL OTHERS	<u>_0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>1453</u>	<u>1378</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>2858</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	_28	2907

### **REGIONAL VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE- Vote for One** Pathfinder (4 Years) Warren

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
WRITE IN'S	<u>2</u>	4	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>
Pct 1- April Judicki-1, & John Ma	ahn-1			
Pct 2- April Judicki-1, Todd Dori	man-1, Joe Kanvin-:	1, & Sue Keeg	jan-1	
ALL OTHERS	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
BLANKS	<u>1480</u>	<u>1393</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>2901</u>
TOTAL VOTES CAST	1482	1397	28	2907

### QUESTIONS

### **QUESTION 1: PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION**

Do you approve of the adoption of an amendment to the constitution summarized below, which was approved by the General Court in joint sessions of the two houses on June 12, 2019 (yeas 147 – nays 48); and again, on June 9, 2021 (yeas 159 – nays 41)?

#### SUMMARY

This proposed constitutional amendment would establish an additional 4% state income tax on that portion of annual taxable income in excess of \$1 million. This income level would be adjusted annually, by the same method used for federal income-tax brackets, to reflect increases in the cost of living. Revenues from this tax would be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, for public education, public colleges, and universities, and for the repair and maintenance of roads, bridges, and public transportation. The proposed amendment would apply to tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2023.

A YES VOTE would amend the state Constitution to impose an additional 4% tax on that portion of incomes over one million dollars to be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, on education and transportation.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state Constitution relative to income tax.

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
Question 1-YES		<u>587</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>1365</u>
Question 1-NO	665	<u>769</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>1451</u>
Question 1-Blanks	50	<u>41</u>	_0	<u>91</u>
Question 1-TOTAL	1482	1397	28	2907

### **QUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2022?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would direct the Commissioner of the Massachusetts Division of Insurance to approve or disapprove the rates of dental benefit plans and would require that a dental insurance carrier meet an annual aggregate medical loss ratio for its covered dental benefit plans of 83 percent. The medical loss ratio would measure the amount of premium dollars a dental insurance carrier spends on its members'

dental expenses and quality improvements, as opposed to administrative expenses. If a carrier's annual aggregate medical loss ratio is less than 83 percent, the carrier would be required to refund the excess premiums to its covered individuals and groups. The proposed law would allow the Commissioner to waive or adjust the refunds only if it is determined that issuing refunds would result in financial impairment for the carrier.

The proposed law would apply to dental benefit plans regardless of whether they are issued directly by a carrier, through the connector, or through an intermediary. The proposed law would not apply to dental benefit plans issued, delivered, or renewed to a self-insured group or where the carrier is acting as a third-party administrator.

The proposed law would require the carriers offering dental benefit plans to submit information about their current and projected medical loss ratio, administrative expenses, and other financial information to the Commissioner. Each carrier would be required to submit an annual comprehensive financial statement to the Division of Insurance, itemized by market group size and line of business. A carrier that also provides administrative services to one or more self-insured groups would also be required to file an appendix to their annual financial statement with information about its self-insured business. The proposed law would impose a late penalty on a carrier that does not file its annual report on or before April 1.

The Division would be required to make the submitted data public, to issue an annual summary to certain legislative committees, and to exchange the data with the Health Policy Commission. The Commissioner would be required to adopt standards requiring the registration of persons or entities not otherwise licensed or registered by the Commissioner and criteria for the standardized reporting and uniform allocation methodologies among carriers.

The proposed law would allow the Commissioner to approve dental benefit policies for the purpose of being offered to individuals or groups. The Commissioner would be required to adopt regulations to determine eligibility criteria.

The proposed law would require carriers to file group product base rates and any changes to group rating factors that are to be effective on January 1 of each year on or before July 1 of the preceding year. The Commissioner would be required to disapprove any proposed changes to base rates that are excessive, inadequate, or unreasonable in relation to the benefits charged. The Commissioner would also be required to disapprove any change to group rating factors that is discriminatory or not actuarially sound.

The proposed law sets forth criteria that, if met, would require the Commissioner to presumptively disapprove a carrier's rate, including if the aggregate medical loss ratio for all dental benefit plans offered by a carrier is less than 83 percent.

The proposed law would establish procedures to be followed if a proposed rate is presumptively disapproved or if the Commissioner disapproves a rate.

The proposed law would require the Division to hold a hearing if a carrier reports a risk-based capital ratio on a combined entity basis that exceeds 700 percent in its annual report.

The proposed law would require the Commissioner to promulgate regulations consistent with its provisions by October 1, 2023. The proposed law would apply to all dental benefit plans issued, made effective, delivered, or renewed on or after January 1, 2024.

A YES VOTE would regulate dental insurance rates, including by requiring companies to spend at least 83% of premiums on member dental expenses and quality improvements instead of administrative expenses, and by making other changes to dental insurance regulations.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law relative to the regulations that apply to dental insurance companies.

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
Question 2-YES	923	<u>789</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>1732</u>
Question 2-NO	490	<u>545</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>1043</u>
Question 2-Blanks	69	<u>63</u>	_0	<u>132</u>
Question 2-TOTAL	1482	1397	28	2907

### **QUESTION 3: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2022?

### SUMMARY

This proposed law would increase the statewide limits on the combined number of licenses for the sale of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption (including licenses for "all alcoholic beverages" and for "wines and malt beverages") that any one retailer could own or control: from 9 to 12 licenses in 2023; to 15 licenses in 2027; and to 18 licenses in 2031.

Beginning in 2023, the proposed law would set a maximum number of "all alcoholic beverages" licenses that any one retailer could own or control at 7 licenses unless a retailer currently holds more than 7 such licenses.

The proposed law would require retailers to conduct the sale of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption through face-to-face transactions and would prohibit automated or self-checkout sales of alcoholic beverages by such retailers.

The proposed law would alter the calculation of the fine that the Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission may accept in lieu of suspending any license issued under the State Liquor Control Act. The proposed law would modify the formula for calculating such fee from being based on the gross profits on the sale of alcoholic beverages to being based on the gross profits on all retail sales.

The proposed law would also add out-of-state motor vehicle licenses to the list of the forms of identification that any holder of a license issued under the State Liquor Control Act, or their agent or employee, may choose to reasonably rely on for proof of a person's identity and age.

A YES VOTE would increase the number of licenses a retailer could have for the sale of alcoholic beverages to be consumed off premises, limit the number of "all-alcoholic beverages" licenses that a retailer could acquire, restrict use of self-checkout, and require retailers to accept customers' out-of-state identification.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws governing the retail sale of alcoholic beverages.

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
Question 3-YES	516	<u>495</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1016</u>
Question 3-NO	869	<u>846</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>1738</u>
Question 3-Blanks	97	56	_0	<u> 153 </u>
Question 3-TOTAL	1482	1397	28	2907

### **QUESTION 4: REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW**

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on May 26, 2022?

### SUMMARY

This law allows Massachusetts residents who cannot provide proof of lawful presence in the United States to obtain a standard driver's license or learner's permit if they meet all the other qualifications for a standard license or learner's permit, including a road test and insurance, and provide proof of their identity, date of birth, and residency. The law provides that, when processing an application for such a license or learner's permit or motor vehicle registration, the registrar of motor vehicles may not ask about or create a record of the citizenship or immigration status of the applicant, except as otherwise required by law. This law does not allow people who cannot provide proof of lawful presence in the United States to obtain a REAL ID.

To prove identity and date of birth, the law requires an applicant to present at least two documents, one from each of the following categories: (1) a valid unexpired foreign passport or a valid unexpired Consular Identification document; and (2) a valid unexpired driver's license from any United States state or territory, an original or certified copy of a birth certificate, a valid unexpired foreign national identification card, a valid unexpired foreign driver's license, or a marriage certificate or divorce decree issued by any state or territory of the United States. One of the documents presented by an applicant must include a photograph

and one must include a date of birth. Any documents not in English must be accompanied by a certified translation. The registrar may review any documents issued by another country to determine whether they may be used as proof of identity or date of birth.

The law requires that applicants for a driver's license or learner's permit shall attest, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that their license has not been suspended or revoked in any other state, country, or jurisdiction.

The law specifies that information provided by or relating to any applicant or license-holder will not be a public record and shall not be disclosed, except as required by federal law or as authorized by Attorney General regulations, and except for purposes of motor vehicle insurance.

The law directs the registrar of motor vehicles to make regulations regarding the documents required of United States citizens and others who provide proof of lawful presence with their license application.

The law also requires the registrar and the Secretary of the Commonwealth to establish procedures and regulations to ensure that an applicant for a standard driver's license or learner's permit who does not provide proof of lawful presence will not be automatically registered to vote.

The law takes effect on July 1, 2023.

A YES VOTE would keep in place the law, which would allow Massachusetts residents who cannot provide proof of lawful presence in the United States to obtain a driver's license or permit if they meet the other requirements for doing so.

A NO VOTE would repeal this law.

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 2A	TOTAL
Question 4-YES	601	<u>546</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>1158</u>
Question 4-NO	818	<u>800</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>1635</u>
Question 4-Blanks	63	<u>51</u>	_0	<u>_114</u>
Question 4-TOTAL	1482	1397	28	2907

The polls opened at 7:00 a.m. and closed at 8:00 p.m.it was a steady flow all day long even though many voters had chosen to vote either by" Early Voting By Mail" or "Early Voting In-Person".

A voter had the choice to apply for a mail in ballot up to November 1, after November 1 the voter still had two choices to vote "Early In-Person" that was held at the "Carnegie Building" AKA "Old Library" from October 22- November 4, or the voter could just go in person to the election polls at the Granby Jr. Sr. High School and vote between 7am-8pm on November 8<sup>th</sup>.

At the close of voter registration on October 29, 2022, the town had 4,970 registered voters which 480 were considered as Inactive voters.

Inactive voters are those voters who have not voted or answered their Annual Census in two biennial election years and will be removed after four years have gone by unless they can prove proof of residency.

952 voters had chosen to vote "By Mail" and 388 chose to "Vote Early In-person" a total of 1,340 voters voted early for this election.

The mail-in and in-person early votes were processed by "Advance Ballot Processing" this was to alleviate the burden on the Clerk's and election workers by starting the ballot casting from October 30<sup>th</sup> – November 7<sup>th</sup> and finishing the tallying on election day at the polls. No results were recorded until 8 pm on Election Day at the election polls.

1,560 voters come out to the polls to support their candidates and the questions, and 7 ballots were received after November 8<sup>th</sup>,but by November 12<sup>th</sup> that were qualified to be added to the totals.

The final count of voters that voted in this State Election held on November 8<sup>th</sup>, 2022, was 2,907 that's 58% of the registered voters that came out to support their candidates and the questions. There were two provisional ballots filed but it was proven that they were not registered voters and those provisional ballots were not counted.

To Note: Provisional ballots are filed by the voter when a voter does not show up on any voting list, there's no record on the Active, Inactive or the Deleted lists, then a search is required and if the voter should be eligible their vote is processed and counted.

I certify that all ballots cast for candidates and the questions in this State Election that was held on Nov. 08, 2022, have been counted and recorded in accordance with the law.

Respectfully submitted Katherine A. Kelly-Reg lín Town Clerk, CMMC